arXiv:1409.2229v1 [math.DG] 8 Sep 2014

SINGULAR MULTICONTACT STRUCTURES

ALESSANDRO OTTAZZI, GERD SCHMALZ

Abstract. We describe the automorphisms of a singular multicontact structure, that is a generalisation of the Martinet distribution. Such a structure is interpreted as a para-CR structure on a hypersurface M of a direct product space $\mathbb{R}^2_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2_-$. We introduce the notion of a finite type singularity analogous to CR geometry and, along the way, we prove extension results for para-CR functions and mappings on embedded para-CR manifolds into the ambient space.

1. Introduction

Multicontact structures have been studied under different names and in diverse contexts since the end of the 19th century. Recently they have been treated in great generality in the context of parabolic geometry and sub-Riemannian geometry [3, 7–10, 14, 20], see also [24, 25].

The simplest instance of a multicontact structure is a 3-dimensional manifold with two direction fields X, Y that span a contact distribution. This structure appears in the point-transformation geometry of 2nd order ODE and was first studied by Lie [15–17] and Tresse [23]. It is well-known that this multicontact geometry is analogous to the Cauchy-Riemann geometry of real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}^2$, which was one of Cartan's [4, 5] inspirations for developing his technique of moving frames. The analogy between the two geometries has been described by Nuwrowski and Sparling [19] in the intrinsic setup, but it seems that the extrinsic approach due to Chern and Moser [6] has never been applied to multicontact structures. For this approach the ambient complex manifold needs to be replaced by a direct product manifold. The analogy between complex manifolds and direct product manifolds has been summarised in the recent survey article [11] by Harvey and Lawson.

According to this approach one would define a para-CR structure on a hypersurface M of a direct product space $\mathbb{R}^2_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2_-$ (or more in general $\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n_-$) as the structure on TM induced by the embedding, namely the two direction fields (or more in general $n-1$-dimensional distributions) $TM \cap T\mathbb{R}^2_\pm$. In order to make this a multicontact structure we need to impose the condition that the commutator of the two direction fields generates the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary: 32V99, 53D10; secondary: 58D19, 22E46, 34A26.

Key words and phrases. para-CR structure, multicontact structure, Martinet distribution, ODE symmetries.

The authors have been partially supported by the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM) and the ARC Discovery grant DP130103485.

missing direction in TM at each point. This is analogous to Levi non-degeneracy of a CR manifold.

In this paper we use an extrinsic approach to study singular multicontact structures, i.e. multicontact structures for which the contact condition fails on a thin subset. In the context of sub-Riemannian geometry, the structures that we study define singular sub-Riemannian structures, and are a generalization of the Martinet distribution [2, 18, 26].

We show that, in contrast to the CR situation, any para-CR structure can be locally realised by an embedding. We define a notion of finite type singularities that is analogous to the notion of finite type in CR-geometry, and we study the homogeneous models and their symmetries.

The article is structured as follows: In Section 2 we prove that any abstract para-CR manifold M can be locally realised as an embedded para-CR manifold. Section 3 is devoted to the extension of para-CR functions to para-holomorphic functions in a neighbourhood of an embedded para-CR manifold. In Section 4 we define the notion of para-CR mappings, para-CR automorphisms and infinitesimal para-CR automorphisms and we show that they extend as para-holomorphic objects in a neighbourhood of an embedded para-CR manifold. In Section 5 we introduce the notion of finite type para-CR structures, which is analogous to CR-manifolds of finite type and derive a special form of the defining equation of an embedded para-CR manifold of finite type k. Section 6 is the central part of this article. There we compute the symmetries of the homogeneous models.

2. Embedding of para-CR structures

Let M be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^2_{xy} \times \mathbb{R}^2_{ab}$, locally given as

$$y = a + \phi(a, b, x)$$

where $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial a}(0) = 0$. This embedding distinguishes two direction fields $TM \cap T\mathbb{R}^2_{xy}$ and $TM \cap T\mathbb{R}^2_{ab}$ on M, which in local coordinates x, a, b take the form

$$X = \partial_x, \quad Y = (1 + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial a})\partial_b - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial b}\partial_a.$$

We will call a 3-dimensional manifold M with two distinguished direction fields X, Y a para-CR manifold. If the distribution spanned by X, Y is contact, i.e., if $[X, Y]$ generates the missing direction then we call the structure a multicontact structure. We use the term singular multicontact structure if the contact condition is not satisfied on some submanifold of M.

We show now that any abstract para-CR manifold can be locally embedded in this way.

Lemma 1. *Let X, Y be two linearly independent vector fields in some neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then there exist coordinates (x, a, b) such that $X = \mu\partial_x$ and $Y = \lambda(\partial_b + \psi(x, a, b)\partial_a)$, where μ, λ are some non-vanishing functions.*

Proof. Let (x^*, a^*, b^*) be coordinates such that $X = \partial_{x^*}$ and $Y(0) = \partial_{b^*}|_0$. Then $Y = \lambda(\partial_{b^*} + A\partial_{a^*} + B\partial_{x^*})$, where λ, A, B are functions with $\lambda(0) = 1$.

We apply a coordinate change

$$a = a^*, \qquad b = b^*, \qquad x = \chi(x^*, a^*, b^*).$$

Now, $X = \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial x^*}\partial_x$ and the ∂_x component of Y is

$$\lambda(\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial b^*} + A\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial a^*} + B\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial x^*}).$$

It remains to choose χ as a solution of the PDE

$$\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial b^*} + A\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial a^*} + B\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial x^*} = 0. \quad \square$$

Proposition 1. *Let M be a 3-manifold with a para-CR structure (X, Y). Then M can be locally embedded into $\mathbb{R}^4$ in such a way that the induced para-CR structure coincides with the original one.*

Proof. By Lemma 1, we may assume that $X = \partial_x$ and $Y = \partial_b + \psi\partial_a$ defines the para-CR structure on a coordinate chart in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with coordinates x, a, b.

We embed M into $\mathbb{R}^4$ with coordinates (a, b, x, y) as a hypersurface

$$S\colon y = a + \phi(a, b, x) = \tilde{\phi}(a, x, b) \tag{1}$$

with $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial a}|_{b=0} = 0$.

Clearly, $X = \partial_x$ lifts to S as $\partial_x + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\partial_y$, which is a section of $T\mathbb{R}^2_{xy}$. If we choose $\tilde{\phi}$ to be the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial b} + \psi(a, b, x)\frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial a} = 0$$
$$\tilde{\phi}|_{b=0} = a$$

then

$$\partial_b - \frac{\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial b}}{1 + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial a}}\partial_a$$

is the direction field $TS \cap T\mathbb{R}^2_{ab}$. $\square$

3. para-CR functions

We call a function $f = (u, v)\colon D \to \mathbb{R}^2$ from a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_n, a_1, \dots, a_n)$ para-holomorphic if $\frac{\partial u}{\partial a_j} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots n$.

Let (M, X, Y) be a para-CR manifold. A function $f = (u, v)\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is called a para-CR function if $Xv = Yu = 0$.

Proposition 2. *If (x, a, b) are local canonical coordinates on M with $X = \partial_x$ and $Y = \partial_b + \psi\partial_a$, then $f = (u, v)$ is para-CR if and only if*

$$u = u(x, y), \qquad v = v(a, b)$$

where $y = a + \phi(x, a, b)$ is the function from the embedding (1).

Proof. It is easy to see that $Xv = 0$ is equivalent to $v = v(a, b)$. After a change of coordinates to $x^* = x$, $b^* = b$, $y = a + \phi(x, a, b)$ the vector field Y becomes ∂_b and $Yu = 0$ is now equivalent to $u = u(x^*, y) = u(x, y)$. □

Proposition 3. *Suppose that M is embedded into $\mathbb{R}^4$ as described in Section 2. Then a para-CR function $f = (u, v)$ extends in a unique way to a para-holomorphic function $\tilde{f} = (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ in some neighbourhood of M.*

Proof. Let (x, y, a, b) be the coordinates of the embedding, as above. According to the characterisation of para-CR functions in Proposition 2, $u = u(a, b)$ extends to all x, y as $\tilde{u}(x, y, a, b) = u(a, b)$ and $v = v(x, y)$ extends to all a, b as $\tilde{v}(x, y, a, b) = v(x, y)$. □

Notice that, in contrast to the CR case, we have a two-sided extension and no assumption on the Levi form is made.

4. Extension of para-CR mappings

Let (M, X, Y) and (M', X', Y') be two para-CR manifolds. A differentiable mapping $F\colon M \to M'$ is called a para-CR mapping if $F^*X' = \lambda X$ and $F^*Y' = \mu Y$ for some non-vanishing functions λ, μ.

Proposition 4. *If $\phi\colon M \to M'$ is a para-CR mapping of embedded para-CR manifolds with embeddings (x, y, a, b) and (x', y', a', b') then F extends to a para-holomorphic mapping $\tilde{F}$ from a neighbourhood of M to a neighbourhood of M'.*

Proof. If M' is embedded into $\mathbb{R}^4$ by (x', y', a', b') then the composition of F with the coordinate maps gives two para-CR functions $f_1 = (x', a') \circ F$ and $f_2 = (y', b') \circ F$ on M. If M is embedded into $\mathbb{R}^4$ by (x, y, a, b) then f_1 and f_2 extend as para-holomorphic functions $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2$ to a neighbourhood of M. Now $\tilde{F} = (\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2)$. □

We define a local para-CR automorphism of a para-CR manifold M as a diffeomorphism F that is at the same time a para-CR mapping from an open subset $U \subset M$ onto another open subset $U' \subset M$. A locally given vector field V is called a local infinitesimal para-CR automorphism of M if the flow of V consists of local para-CR automorphisms. Proposition 4 readily implies the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let V be a local infinitesimal para-CR automorphism of an embedded para-CR manifold $M \subset \mathbb{R}^4$. Then V extends to a para-holomorphic vector field $\tilde{V}$ in some neighbourhood of M. Conversely, the restriction of any para-holomorphic vector field $\tilde{V}$, such that $\tilde{V}|_M$ is tangent to M is a local para-CR automorphism.*

5. Para-CR manifolds of finite type

We define para-holomorphic curves as para-holomorphic mappings

$$\begin{aligned} F\colon \mathbb{R}^2 &\to \mathbb{R}^{2n} \\ (s, t) &\mapsto (x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t), a_1(s), \dots, a_n(s)). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, a para-holomorphic curve is the direct product of two curves in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We call F regular at (s_0, t_0) if at least one of the $\frac{dx_i}{dt}(t_0)$ and at least one of the $\frac{da_j}{ds}(s_0)$ are different from zero.

In analogy to CR geometry we define the notion of finite type k at a point p of an embedded para-CR manifold M as the maximal order of contact of a para-holomorphic curve that is regular at (s_0, t_0) and maps (s_0, t_0) to p.

The following proposition is analogous to the CR case:

Proposition 5. *If M is a real-analytic embedded para-CR manifold then it is of finite type k at p if and only if there exist coordinates (x, y, a, b) centred at p in the ambient space such that M is locally given by*

$$y = a + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \gamma_i b^i x^{k-i} + o(|a| + (|x| + |b|)^k), \tag{2}$$

where at least one of the γ_i is different from zero.

Proof. Using the implicit function theorem we can represent M in some neighbourhood of p by

$$y = a + \phi(a, b, x).$$

By a coordinate change $y \mapsto y + \phi(0, 0, x)$, $a \mapsto a + \phi(0, b, 0)$ we eliminate the pure terms in b and x in ϕ.

First we show that M is not of finite type if all monomials in ϕ are divisible by a. Indeed, in this case $a = 0, b = s, x = t, y = 0$ belongs to M and therefore has contact of infinite order.

Otherwise, ϕ contains a lowest order homogeneous polynomial of some degree k that is not divisible by a. Then

$$y = a + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \gamma_i b^i x^{k-i} + o(|a| + (|x| + |b|)^k)$$

where some γ_i is different from 0. Now, the para-holomorphic curve $y = a = 0$, $x = t$, $b = s$ has order of contact k with M. We show that no lower order of contact can be achieved. Indeed, $y(t)$ and $a(s)$ have to be of order k at least, therefore $x(t)$ and $b(t)$ must have non-zero derivatives. This results in the order of contact k, which cannot be lowered. □

Notice that the highest and lowest power of x that occurs in (2) are also invariants of the multicontact singularity p.

6. Automorphisms of singular model structures

In this section we study the multicontact automorphisms of the singular multicontact structure $X = \partial_x$ and $Y = \partial_b - (\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i\gamma_i b^{i-1} x^{k-i})\partial_a$, $k > 2$. This is equivalent to studying the para-CR automorphisms of the model hypersurface $S\colon y - a - P(x, b) = 0$ where $P(x, b) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \gamma_i b^i x^{k-i}$ for $k > 2$.

A vector field

$$V = \alpha(a,b)\partial_a + \beta(a,b)\partial_b + \xi(x,y)\partial_x + \eta(x,y)\partial_y$$

is tangent to S if $V(S)|_S = 0$, namely

$$\alpha(a,b) + \beta(a,b)\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i\gamma_i b^{i-1}x^{k-i} + \xi(x,a+P)\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(k-i)\gamma_i b^i x^{k-i-1} - \eta(x,a+P) = 0. \tag{3}$$

We assign the weight k to a and y, the weight 1 to b and x and the weights $-k$ and -1 to ∂_a, ∂_y and ∂_b, ∂_x respectively. With respect to this grading we may consider homogeneous polynomial vector fields. Since S is homogeneous of degree k, it follows that the homogeneous components of an infinitesimal automorphism V are infinitesimal automorphisms themselves. We investigate separately the homogeneous components of an infinitesimal automorphism V by considering the homogeneous components of (3).

• Weight $-k$. In this case we have $V_{-k} = \partial_a + \partial_y$ as sole generator.

• Weight $-k+1 \leq l \leq 2$. Here the only terms that occur are $\alpha_{0,\ell}b^\ell$ and $\eta_{\ell,0}x^\ell$. It follows immediately that $\alpha_{0\ell} = \eta_{\ell,0} = 0$.

• Weight -1. In this case equation (3) reads

$$\alpha_{0,k-1}b^{k-1} + \beta_{0,0}\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i\gamma_i b^{i-1}x^{k-i} + \xi_{0,0}\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(k-i)\gamma_i b^i x^{k-i-1} - \eta_{k-1,0}x^{k-1} = 0,$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_{0,k-1} = -\xi_{0,0}\gamma_{k-1} \\
&i\beta_{0,0}\gamma_i = -(k-i+1)\xi_{0,0}\gamma_{i-1} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq k-1 \\
&\eta_{k-1,0} = \beta_{0,0}\gamma_1
\end{aligned}$$

From this we conclude that the only non-trivial case occurs if S has the form

$$y = a + \delta[(x+\nu b)^k - x^k - \nu^k b^k]$$

where δ and ν are determined from the relations

$$\gamma_i = \binom{k}{i}\delta\nu^i \qquad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k-1.$$

The corresponding infinitesimal automorphism is a multiple of

$$V_{-1} = \partial_b - \nu\partial_x - k\delta\nu^k b^{k-1}\partial_a + k\delta\nu x^{k-1}\partial_y.$$

After the coordinate change

$$\begin{aligned}
a^* &= \tfrac{1}{\delta}a - \nu^k b^k & b^* &= \nu b \\
y^* &= \tfrac{1}{\delta}y + x^k & x^* &= x
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

the equation of the surface becomes

$$y^* = a^* + (x^* + b^*)^k$$

and the infinitesimal automorphism takes the simple form

$$V_{-1}^* = \partial_{b^*} - \partial_{x^*}.$$

- Weight 0. We have

$$\alpha_{1,0}a+\alpha_{0,k}b^k+\beta_{0,1}\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i\gamma_i b^i x^{k-i}+\xi_{1,0}\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(k-i)\gamma_i b^i x^{k-i}-\eta_{k,0}x^k-\eta_{0,1}(a+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\gamma_i b^i x^{k-i}) = 0,$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{1,0} &= \eta_{0,1} \\ \alpha_{0,k} &= \eta_{k,0} = 0 \\ i\beta_{0,1} + (k-i)\xi_{1,0} &= \eta_{0,1} \qquad \forall i \text{ such that } \gamma_i \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We distinguish two cases:

(1) P is not a monomial, that is $\gamma_i \neq 0$ and $\gamma_j \neq 0$ for two different i and j. Then $\beta_{0,1} = \xi_{1,0}$ and $\eta_{0,1} = k\beta_{0,1}$. The corresponding infinitesimal automorphism is

$$V_0 = ka\partial_a + b\partial_b + x\partial_x + ky\partial_y.$$

(2) $P = b^\iota x^{k-\iota}$ for some particular ι. Then, in addition to the infinitesimal automorphism of weighted dilation from above, we have

$$V_0' = (\iota - k)b\partial_b + \iota x\partial_x.$$

- Weight ℓ, with $1 \leq \ell \leq k-2$. Using (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{1,\ell}ab^\ell + \alpha_{0,k+\ell}b^{k+\ell} + \beta_{0,\ell+1}\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i\gamma_i b^{i+\ell}x^{k-i} + \xi_{\ell+1,0}\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}(k-j)\gamma_j b^j x^{k+\ell-j} \\ - \eta_{k+\ell,0}x^{k+\ell} - \eta_{\ell,1}x^\ell(a+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\gamma_i b^i x^{k-i}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It readily follows that

$$\alpha_{1,\ell} = \eta_{\ell,1} = \alpha_{0,k+\ell} = \eta_{k+\ell,0} = 0.$$

We show that $\beta_{0,\ell+1} = \xi_{\ell+1,0} = 0$. Suppose they do not vanish. Since the powers b^j with $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ appear only in the sum $\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}(k-j)\gamma_j b^j x^{k+\ell-j}$, we immediately have $\gamma_j = 0$ for all such j. Now iteration of the same argument shows that all γ_j vanish, which is not possible. The contradiction shows that $\beta_{0,\ell+1} = \xi_{\ell+1,0} = 0$.

• Weight $k-1$. Equation (3) yields

$$\begin{aligned}(5)\quad \alpha_{1,k-1}ab^{k-1}+\alpha_{0,2k-1}b^{2k-1}+\beta_{0,k}\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}i\gamma_i b^{i+k-1}x^{k-i}+\beta_{1,0}a\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}i\gamma_i b^{i-1}x^{k-i}+\\ \xi_{k,0}\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}(k-j)\gamma_j b^j x^{2k-j-1}+\xi_{0,1}(a+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\gamma_i b^i x^{k-i})\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}(k-j)\gamma_j b^j x^{k-j-1}\\ -\eta_{2k-1,0}x^{2k-1}-\eta_{k-1,1}x^{k-1}(a+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\gamma_i b^i x^{k-i})=0\end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately that $\alpha_{1,k-1}=\xi_{0,1}\gamma_{k-1}$ and $\alpha_{0,2k-1}=\eta_{2k-1,0}=0$. Inspecting the coefficient of ax^{k-1} we get $\beta_{1,0}\gamma_1=\eta_{k-1,1}$. Similarly, looking at ab^jx^{k-j-1} we get $(j+1)\beta_{1,0}\gamma_{j+1}=(k-j)\xi_{0,1}\gamma_j$ for $1\leq j\leq k-1$. Therefore, we have that either $\beta_{1,0}=\xi_{0,1}=0$ (which entails that all other coefficients vanish) or $\gamma_j=\binom{k}{j}\delta\nu^j$ with

$$\nu=\frac{\xi_{0,1}}{\beta_{1,0}},\qquad \delta=\frac{\eta_{k-1,1}}{k\xi_{0,1}}.$$

After the coordinate change in (4) we may assume that S has the form

$$y=a+(x+b)^k.$$

In this case $\partial_b-\partial_x$ is an infinitesimal automorphism of weight -1. Its commutator with an infinitesimal automorphism V of weight $k-1$ would have weight $k-2$, which does not exist if $k>2$. Therefore $[\partial_b-\partial_x,V]=0$, which implies that V must be a multiple of $y\partial_x$. However, this is not an infinitesimal automorphism of S.

• Weight k. An infinitesimal automorphism of weight k has the form

$$\begin{aligned}V=(\alpha_{2,0}a^2+\alpha_{1,k}ab^k+\alpha_{0,2k}b^{2k})\partial_a+(\beta_{1,1}ab+\beta_{0,k+1}b^{k+1})\partial_b+\\ +(\xi_{1,1}xy+\xi_{k+1,0}x^{k+1})\partial_x+(\eta_{0,2}y^2+\eta_{k,1}x^ky+\eta_{2k,0}x^{2k})\partial_y.\end{aligned}$$

Checking the power $2k$ and the next highest power in b and x in $V(S)|_S=0$, we conclude that

$$\alpha_{0,2k}=\beta_{0,k+1}=\xi_{k+1,0}=\eta_{2k,0}=0.$$

Now, $[\partial_y+\partial_a,V]$ must be an infinitesimal automorphism of weight 0 and therefore is a linear combination of $a\partial_a$, $b\partial_b$, $x\partial_x$, $y\partial_y$. This implies that

$$\alpha_{1k}=\eta_{k,1}=0.$$

Suppose that P is not a monomial. Then

$$[\partial_y+\partial_a,V]=s(x\partial_x+b\partial_b+ky\partial_y+ka\partial_a)$$

for some $s\in\mathbb{R}$ and therefore

$$V=s(\frac{k}{2}a^2\partial_a+ab\partial_b+xy\partial_x+\frac{k}{2}y^2\partial_y).$$

The restriction of $V(S)|_{S=0}$ to $a=0$ yields

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\gamma_i b^i x^{k-i})(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(\frac{k}{2}-i)\gamma_i b^i x^{k-i})=0.$$

This means that $i=\frac{k}{2}$ which contradicts our assumption. Hence, without loss of generality, $P=b^\iota x^{k-\iota}$ and

$$[\partial_y+\partial_a,V]=ska\partial_a+(s+t(\iota-k))b\partial_b+(s+\iota t)x\partial_x+sky\partial_y.$$

It follows

$$V=\frac{sk}{2}a^2\partial_a+(s+t(\iota-k))ab\partial_b+(s+\iota t)xy\partial_x+\frac{sk}{2}y^2\partial_y.$$

The restriction of $V(S)|_{S=0}$ to $a=0$ yields

$$(k-\iota)(s+\iota t)-\frac{sk}{2}=0,$$

whence

$$t=\frac{\iota-\frac{k}{2}}{\iota(k-\iota)}s.$$

We conclude that a generator of an infinitesimal automorphism of weight k is

$$V_k=a^2\partial_a+\frac{1}{\iota}ab\partial_b+\frac{1}{k-\iota}xy\partial_x+y^2\partial_y.$$

• Weights $k+\ell$, with $l>0$. Let V be a vector field of homogenous weight $k+\ell$, namely

$$V=\sum_{ki+j=2k+\ell}\alpha_{i,j}a^ib^j\partial_a+\sum_{ki+j=k+\ell+1}\beta_{i,j}a^ib^j\partial_b+\sum_{i+kj=k+\ell+1}\xi_{i,j}x^iy^j\partial_x+\sum_{i+kj=2k+\ell}\eta_{i,j}x^iy^j\partial_y.$$

The commutator $[\partial_a+\partial_y,V]=0$, except for the case when ℓ is a multiple of k and P is a monomial, which we will treat separately. It readily follows that V does not depend on a and y, i.e.

$$V=\alpha_{0,2k+\ell}b^{2k+\ell}\partial_a+\beta_{0,k+\ell+1}b^{k+\ell+1}\partial_b+\xi_{k+\ell+1,0}x^{k+\ell+1}\partial_x+\eta_{2k+\ell,0}x^{2k+\ell}\partial_y.$$

Inspecting the highest order terms in $V(S)|_S=0$ yields that $V=0$. Now, if $\ell=2k$ and $P=b^mx^n$, then

$$[\partial_a+\partial_y,V]=t(a^2\partial_a+\frac{1}{m}ab\partial_b+\frac{1}{n}xy\partial_x+y^2\partial_y)$$

for some $t\in\mathbb{R}$ and therefore

$$V=\frac{t}{3}a^3\partial_a+\frac{t}{2}a^2b\partial_b+\frac{t}{2}xy^2\partial_x+\frac{t}{3}y^3\partial_y.$$

The restriction of $V(S)|_S=0$ to $a=0$ yields

$$\frac{t}{6}b^{3m}x^{3n}=0,$$

whence $t = 0$. The previous argument shows that, also in the case when P is a monomial, there are no infinitesimal automorphisms of weight higher than k.
We summarize the conclusions from the above computations in the following statement.

Theorem 1. *Let $S\colon y - a - P(x,b) = 0$ be a hypersurface with $P(x,b) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \gamma_i b^i x^{k-i}$, where $k > 2$ and $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\gamma_i \neq 0$ for some $i \leq k-1$. For the space $\mathcal{A}$ of multicontact infinitesimal automorphisms we have three cases.*

(i) *If $P(x,b) = b^\iota x^{k-\iota}$, then $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}V_{-k} + \mathbb{R}V_0 + \mathbb{R}V_0' + \mathbb{R}V_k$. As a Lie algebra, $\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$.*
(ii) *If $P(x,b) = a + (x+b)^k$, then $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}V_{-k} + \mathbb{R}V_{-1} + \mathbb{R}V_0$. The space $\mathcal{A}$ is a solvable Lie algebra isomorphic to the $\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ part of the Iwasawa decoposition of $\mathfrak{so}(1,5)$.*
(iii) *If $P(x,b)$ is not as in (i) or (ii), then $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}V_{-k} + \mathbb{R}V_0$. As a Lie algebra, $\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to the $\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ part of the Iwasawa decoposition of $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$.*

We may integrate the vector fields that give the infinitesimal automorphisms to obtain 1-parameter groups of automorphisms. For (iii) in the theorem above, we have

$$\mathrm{Exp}(tV_{-k}) : (x,y,a,b) \to (x, y+t, a+t, b), \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{6}$$

$$\mathrm{Exp}(\lambda V_0) : (x,y,a,b) \to (\lambda x, \lambda^k y, \lambda^k a, \lambda b), \quad \lambda > 0 \tag{7}$$

In the case (i) we add to (6) and (7) the flows

$$\mathrm{Exp}(\lambda V_0') : (x,y,a,b) \to \left(\lambda^\iota x, y, a, \frac{b}{\lambda^{k-\iota}}\right), \quad \lambda > 0$$

$$\mathrm{Exp}(tV_k) : (x,y,a,b) \to \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt[k-\iota]{1-ty}}, \frac{y}{(1-ty)}, \frac{a}{1-ta}, \frac{b}{\sqrt[\iota]{1-ta}}\right).$$

Finally, in the case (ii) we have (6) and (7) and the following flow that we write in the coordinates (4)

$$\mathrm{Exp}(tV_{-1}) : (x,y,a,b) \to (x-t, y - x^k + 2(x-t)^k, a + b^k - 2(b+t)^k, b+t).$$

Below we compute the multicontact automorphisms that are not exponential images of infinitesimal automorphisms. First we show that they have to be linear. Let $S\colon y = a + P(x,b)$ with $P = a + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \gamma_j b^j x^{k-j}$ and the distinguished direction fields $X = \partial_x + P_x \partial_y$ and $Y = \partial_b - P_b \partial_a$, as above. The induced multicontact structure has a singularity at the zero locus of $[X,Y] = -P_{xb}(\partial_a + \partial_y)$, i.e. for $P_{xb} = 0$. The polynomial P_{xb} is homogeneous of degree $k-2 > 0$ and factorises into a product of irreducible quadratic and linear factors. Therefore, the zero locus is either a point (if all factors are irreducible quadratic polynomials), a line (if $P_{xb} = \#(\mu x + \nu b)^{k-2}$) or a pencil of lines passing through 0 (if P_{xb} contains at least two different linear factors.) In the first and the last case the origin in the xb-plane is preserved by any multicontact automorphism. In the second case one can choose coordinates such that $P = (x+b)^k - x^k - b^k$. In this case the line $x + b = 0$ is preserved by any multicontact automorphism.

Lemma 2. *Any automorphism Φ is a composition $\Phi = \Phi_0 \circ \Phi_1$, where $\Phi_0(0) = 0$ and Φ_1 is of the form*

$$y \mapsto y + t, \quad a \mapsto a + t$$

if P_{xb} is not a power of a linear term, and

$$y \mapsto y + t, \quad a \mapsto a + t, \quad x \mapsto x + s, \quad b \mapsto b - s$$

if $P_{xb} = \#(x + b)^{k-2}$.

Proof. Let $x \mapsto \Xi(x, y)$, $y \mapsto H(x, y)$, $a \mapsto A(a, b)$, $b \mapsto B(a, b)$ be an automorphism of S. If P_{xb} is not a power of a linear term, we have $B(0, 0) = 0$ and $H(0, 0) = 0$. Inspecting the zero order term in $H(x, a + P) = A(a, b) + P(B(a, b), \Xi(x, a + P))$ we find $H(0, 0) = A(0, 0) = t$. If we split Φ into $\Phi_0 \circ \Phi_1$ then Φ_0 clearly preserves the origin.

Consider the case $P_{xb} = \#(x + b)^{k-2}$. Inspecting the zero order term in $H(x, a + P) = A(a, b) + P(B(a, b), \Xi(x, a + P))$ we find $H(0, 0) = A(0, 0) + P(B(0, 0), \Xi(0, 0))$. Since $x + b = 0$ is preserved, it follows $\Xi(0, 0) = -B(0, 0) = s$ and $P(B(0, 0), \Xi(0, 0)) = 0$, hence again $H(0, 0) = A(0, 0) = t$. For $\Phi = \Phi_0 \circ \Phi_1$, we conclude that Φ_0 preserves the origin. □

Lemma 3. *Any automorphism Φ with $\Phi(0) = 0$ splits into $\Phi = \Phi_3 \circ \Phi_2$ where Φ_2 is of the form $x \mapsto tx$, $b \mapsto sb$, $y \mapsto \tau y$, $a \mapsto \tau a$, where $\tau = s^i t^j$ for all $i, j = k - i$ such that $\gamma_i \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let Φ_2 be the linear part of Φ, i.e., $\Phi = \Phi_2 + O(|x| + |b| + |y| + |a|)$. Then Φ_2 is an automorphism itself. Inspecting the coefficients of the linear terms yields the desired result. □

Lemma 4. *Any real-analytic automorphism Φ_3 is of the form $\Phi_3 = \exp V$ for some infinitesimal automorphism V.*

Proof. This is similar to Lemma 3.7 in [22]. □

Proposition 6. *The group of discrete multicontact automorphisms of S is either $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and generated by*

$$x \mapsto -x, \qquad b \mapsto -b, \qquad a \mapsto (-1)^k a, \qquad y \mapsto (-1)^k y$$

or $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ with second generator

$$x \mapsto x, \qquad b \mapsto -b, \qquad a \mapsto (-1)^i a, \qquad y \mapsto (-1)^i y,$$

if all i with $\gamma_i \neq 0$ are of the same parity.

Proof. After combining a linear automorphism with suitable one-parametric families we may restrict to $x \mapsto \pm x$ and $b \mapsto \pm b$. For $x \mapsto -x$, $y \mapsto -y$ we always have an automorphism with $a \mapsto (-1)^k a$ and $y \mapsto (-1)^k y$. For $x \mapsto x$, $b \mapsto -b$ we only get an automorphism if $(-1)^i$ is the same for all i with $\gamma_i \neq 0$. The remaining case $x \mapsto -x$, $b \mapsto b$ is a composite of the previous ones. □

Remark 1. *We notice that the hypersurface*

$$y = a + P(b, x) = a + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j b^j x^{k-j},$$

can be seen as the manifold of solutions of the ODE

$$y^{(k)}(x) = 0$$

with initial conditions $y(0) = a$ *and* $y^{(j)}(0) = j!\gamma_{k-j}b^{k-j}$ *for* $j = 1, \dots, k-1$.

Case (i) of Theorem 1 is the hypersurface

$$y = a + bx^{\ell},$$

which can be considered as the manifold of solutions of the ODE

$$y^{(\ell+1)} = 0$$

with initial conditions $y(0) = a$, $y'(0) = \cdots = y^{(\ell-1)}(0) = 0$, $y^{\ell}(0) = b$. *Alternatively, it is the manifold of solutions of the singular 2nd order ODE* $xy'' - (\ell - 1)y' = 0$.

Case (ii) of Theorem 1 is the hypersurface $y = a + (x + b)^k$, *which can be viewed as the solution of*

$$y'' = s(y')^t$$

with $s = (k-1)k^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$, $t = \frac{k-2}{k-1}$.

References

[1] Dmitry V. Alekseevsky and Andrea F. Spiro, *Prolongations of Tanaka structures and regular CR structures*, Selected topics in Cauchy-Riemann geometry, Quad. Mat., vol. 9, Dept. Math., Seconda Univ. Napoli, Caserta, 2001, pp. 1–37. MR2049139 (2004k:53035)

[2] Ovidiu Calin, Der-Chen Chang, and Michael Eastwood, *Integrability conditions for the Grushin and Martinet distributions*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin. (N.S.) **8** (2013), no. 2, 159–168. MR3098534

[3] Andreas Čap, Michael G. Cowling, Filippo de Mari, Michael Eastwood, and Rupert McCallum, *The Heisenberg group,* $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$*, and rigidity*, Harmonic analysis, group representations, automorphic forms and invariant theory, 2007, pp. 41–52.

[4] Elie Cartan, *Sur la géométrie pseudo-conforme des hypersurfaces de l'espace de deux variables complexes*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **11** (1933), no. 1, 17–90 (French). MR1553196

[5] Élie Cartan, *Sur la géométrie pseudo-conforme des hypersurfaces de l'espace de deux variables complexes II*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (2) **1** (1932), no. 4, 333–354 (French). MR1556687

[6] S. S. Chern and J. K. Moser, *Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds*, Acta Math. **133** (1974), 219–271. MR0425155 (54 #13112)

[7] Michael G. Cowling, Filippo De Mari, Adam Korányi, and Hans M. Reimann, *Contact and conformal maps on Iwasawa N groups*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl. **13** (2002), no. 3-4, 219–232. Harmonic analysis on complex homogeneous domains and Lie groups (Rome, 2001).

[8] ———, *Contact and conformal maps in parabolic geometry. I*, Geom. Dedicata **111** (2005), 65–86.

[9] Filippo De Mari and Alessandro Ottazzi, *Rigidity of Carnot groups relative to multicontact structures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 5, 1889–1895, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-10-10212-3.

[10] Chong-Kyu Han, Jong-Won Oh, and Gerd Schmalz, *Symmetry algebra for multi-contact structures given by* $2n$ *vector fields on* $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$, Math. Ann. **341** (2008), no. 3, 529–542, DOI 10.1007/s00208-007-0198-8.

[11] F.Reese Harvey and H.Blaine Lawson Jr., *Split Special Lagrangian Geometry*, Metric and Differential Geometry, 2012, pp. 43-89.

[12] Martin Kolář, *Normal forms for hypersurfaces of finite type in* $\mathbb{C}^2$, Math. Res. Lett. **12** (2005), no. 5-6, 897–910, DOI 10.4310/MRL.2005.v12.n6.a10. MR2189248 (2007d:32034)

[13] ———, *Local symmetries of finite type hypersurfaces in* $\mathbb{C}^2$, Sci. China Ser. A **49** (2006), no. 11, 1633–1641, DOI 10.1007/s11425-006-2049-6. MR2288220 (2007j:32036)

[14] Adam Korányi, *Multicontact maps: results and conjectures*, Lecture notes of Seminario Interdisciplinare di Matematica. Vol. IV, 2005, pp. 57–63.

[15] Sophus Lie, *Klassifikation und integration von gewöhnlichen Differentialgleichungen zwischen* x, y*, die eine Gruppe von Transformationen gestatten III.*, Arch. for Math. **VIII** (1883), no. 4, 371–458.

[16] ———, *Klassifikation und integration von gewöhnlichen Differentialgleichungen zwischen* x, y*, die eine Gruppe von Transformationen gestatten IV.*, Arch. for Math. **IX** (1883), no. 4, 431–448.

[17] ———, *Vorlesungen über Differentialgleichungen mit bekannten infintesimalen Transformationen*, Leipzig, 1891.

[18] Jean Martinet, *Sur les singularités des formes différentielles*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **20** (1970), no. fasc. 1, 95–178.

[19] Pawel Nurowski and George A. Sparling, *Three-dimensional Cauchy-Riemann structures and second-order ordinary differential equations*, Classical Quantum Gravity **20** (2003), no. 23, 4995–5016. MR2024797 (2004m:32069)

[20] Alessandro Ottazzi, *Multicontact vector fields on Hessenberg manifolds*, J. Lie Theory **15** (2005), no. 2, 357–377.

[21] Henri Poincaré, *Les functions analytique de deux variables et la représentation conforme*, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo **23** (1907), 185–220.

[22] G. Schmalz, *Über die Automorphismen einer streng pseudokonvexen CR-Mannigfaltigkeit der Kodimension* 2 *im* $\mathbf{C}^4$, Math. Nachr. **196** (1998), 189–229, DOI 10.1002/mana.19981960109 (German, with German summary). MR1658010 (99k:32027)

[23] Arthur Tresse, *Détermination des invariants ponctuels de l'équation différentielle ordinaire du second ordre* $y" = \omega(x, y, y')$, Preisschrift Fürstl. Jablon. Ges., Leipzig, Hirzel (1896).

[24] Tomoaki Yatsui, *On pseudo-product graded Lie algebras*, Hokkaido Math. J. **17** (1988), no. 3, 333–343, DOI 10.14492/hokmj/1381517817.

[25] ———, *On free pseudo-product fundamental graded Lie algebras*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **8** (2012), Paper 038, 18.

[26] I. Zelenko and M. Zhitomirskiĭ, *Rigid paths of generic* 2*-distributions on* 3*-manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **79** (1995), no. 2, 281–307, DOI 10.1215/S0012-7094-95-07907-1.